UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,793	05/31/2006	Andreas Habermann	HM-655PCT 4009	
40570 FRIEDRICH K	7590 07/20/2007 LUEFFNER		EXAMINER	
317 MADISON	N AVENUE, SUITE 910		SUHOL, DMITRY	
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
· •				
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	·		07/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/550,793	HABERMANN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	0	Dmitry Suhol	3725		
The MA Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHICHEVER - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MOD - If NO period for re - Failure to reply with Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. The secified above, the maximum statutory period we will be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, and by the Office later than three months after the mailing and adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. 8 133)		
Status	.,				
2a) ☐ This acti 3) ☐ Since th	sive to communication(s) filed on ion is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This is application is in condition for allowan n accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Cl	aims				
4a) Of th 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Pape 9) ☐ The spectors 10) ☐ The draw Applicant Replacent	cification is objected to by the Examiner ving(s) filed on is/are: a) access may not request that any objection to the connent drawing sheet(s) including the correction	election requirement. T. Pepted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
	or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) losure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, there is no antecedent basis for "the mill floor level".

Additionally it is not clear as to how many transmissions are being claimed.

Regarding claim 2, it is not clear as to what the phrase "on both sides" refers to.

In other words on both sides of what structure?

Regarding claim 3, there is no antecedent basis for "the adjustment drives".

Regarding claim 4, there is no antecedent basis for "the receivers".

Regarding claim 6, there is no antecedent basis for "the first shaft", and "the stationary spur gear".

Regarding claim 7, there is no antecedent basis for "the stationary deflector plates".

Regarding claim 8, there is no antecedent basis for "the second shaft".

The remainder of the action considers the claims as best understood.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,793

Art Unit: 3725

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Malley '231 in view of Talbot '677. O'Malley discloses an edging mill containing all of the claimed elements including, vertical rolls (28) that may be adjusted relative each other (through screws 30) and are connected to a rotary drive (power source for shaft 39) and stationary transmissions (elements 38, 37, 35, 33, 34, 41, etc) through cardan shafts (47). A continuous drive shaft as required by claim 2 is read onto shaft (39), while bevel gears are shown as gearing (37) and (38) and spur gear are shown as gears (34). Adjustment drives are shown as screws (30). Lacking any clear distinguishing features, deflector plates, are read onto plates (67). As best understood, a second shaft is read onto shaft (35). Limitations of claim 8 is shown in figure 1.

Talbot is relied upon to teach that providing a motor (147) below a mill floor level (figure 5) to power transmissions (115, 177 and 111, 118) is known in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3725

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry Suhol whose telephone number is 571-272-4430. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dmitry Suhol/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725